# Journal Pre-proof

Evidence for Changing Intimate Partner Violence Safety Planning Needs as a Result of COVID-19: Results from Phase I of a Rapid Intervention

Nicholas Metheny, PhD, MPH, RN, Melissa Perri, MPH, Alisa Velonis, PhD, MPH, Janisha Kamalanathan, MSW, Maha Hassan, MBBS, Pearl Buhariwala, MA, MPH, Janice Du Mont, PhD, Robin Mason, PhD, Patricia O'Campo, PhD

PII: S0033-3506(21)00073-1

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.puhe.2021.02.015

Reference: PUHE 4181

To appear in: Public Health

Received Date: 10 November 2020

Revised Date: 1 February 2021

Accepted Date: 10 February 2021

Please cite this article as: Metheny N, Perri M, Velonis A, Kamalanathan J, Hassan M, Buhariwala P, Du Mont J, Mason R, O'Campo P, Evidence for Changing Intimate Partner Violence Safety Planning Needs as a Result of COVID-19: Results from Phase I of a Rapid Intervention, *Public Health*, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.puhe.2021.02.015.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2021 The Royal Society for Public Health. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.



**Title:** Evidence for Changing Intimate Partner Violence Safety Planning Needs as a Result of COVID-19: Results from Phase I of a Rapid Intervention

Nicholas Metheny, PhD<sup>1,2</sup>, MPH, RN, Melissa Perri, MPH<sup>2,3</sup>, Alisa Velonis<sup>2,4</sup>, PhD, MPH, Janisha Kamalanathan, MSW<sup>2</sup>, Maha Hassan, MBBS<sup>2</sup>, Pearl Buhariwala, MA, MPH<sup>2</sup>, Janice Du Mont, PhD<sup>3,5</sup>, Robin Mason, PhD<sup>3,5</sup>, and Patricia O'Campo, PhD<sup>2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Miami School of Nursing and Health Studies, Coral Gables, FL

<sup>2</sup> St. Michael's Hospital MAP Centre for Urban Health Solutions, Toronto, ON

<sup>3</sup> University of Toronto Dalla Lana School of Public Health, Toronto, ON

<sup>4</sup> University of Illinois, Chicago School of Public Health, Chicago, IL

<sup>5</sup> Women's College Research Institute, Women's College Hospital, Toronto, ON

### Abstract:

Objectives: To examine the need for modified safety planning strategies in response to COVID-19-related increases in intimate partner violence (IPV) as the initial phase of adapting an IPV safety planning intervention in Toronto, Ontario.

Methods: A rapid, systematic review was conducted to elucidate existing safety planning strategies used during public health emergencies. These were supplemented with strategies from an expert panel. A survey of IPV survivors and service providers gauged the helpfulness of each strategy during COVID-19.

Results: Together, the systematic review and expert panel yielded 26 conceptually distinct strategies, which were evaluated by N=111 IPV survivors and providers. Of these, n=19 (69%) were "highly recommended", n=3 (12%) were "somewhat recommended", and n=6 (23%) were not recommended for use during the COVID-19 pandemic because they might make the violence worse.

Conclusions: Safety planning needs have changed due to the effect of COVID-19 on IPV incidence, service provision, and risk factors, as well as policies restricting freedom of movement. These results will be used to modify an existing IPV safety planning mobile application for use during COVID-19 and future public health emergencies.

| Journ  |      | Dra | nro  |  |
|--------|------|-----|------|--|
| JUUIII | ai i |     | -pro |  |

| 1        |   |
|----------|---|
| 2        |   |
| 3        |   |
| 4        |   |
| 5        |   |
| 6        |   |
| 7        |   |
| 8        |   |
| 9        |   |
| 10       |   |
| 11       |   |
| 12<br>13 | <b>Title:</b> Evidence for Changing Intimate Partner Violence Safety Planning Needs as a Result of COVID-19: Results from Phase I of a Rapid Intervention |
| 14       |   |
| 15       |   |
| 16       |   |
| 17       |   |
| 18       |   |
| 19       |   |
| 20       |   |
| 21       |   |
| 22       |   |
| 23       |   |
| 24       |   |
| 25       |   |

### 1 Abstract:

Objectives: To examine the need for modified safety planning strategies in response to COVID-2 19-related increases in intimate partner violence (IPV) as the initial phase of adapting an IPV 3 safety planning intervention in Toronto, Ontario. 4 Methods: A rapid, systematic review was conducted to elucidate existing safety planning 5 strategies used during public health emergencies. These were supplemented with strategies from 6 7 an expert panel. A survey of IPV survivors and service providers gauged the helpfulness of each strategy during COVID-19. 8 Results: Together, the systematic review and expert panel yielded 26 conceptually distinct 9 strategies, which were evaluated by N=111 IPV survivors and providers. Of these, n=19 (69%) 10 were "highly recommended", n=3 (12%) were "somewhat recommended", and n=6 (23%) were 11 12 not recommended for use during the COVID-19 pandemic because they might make the violence 13 worse. Conclusions: Safety planning needs have changed due to the effect of COVID-19 on IPV 14

incidence, service provision, and risk factors, as well as policies restricting freedom of
 movement. These results will be used to modify an existing IPV safety planning mobile
 application for use during COVID-19 and future public health emergencies.

#### Journal Pre-proo

Reports suggest an alarming increase in intimate partner violence (IPV) globally
 alongside the spread of SARS-COV-2 and the COVID-19 pandemic<sup>1</sup>. Emergency public health
 measures put in place to reduce transmission, such as physical distancing, stay-at-home orders,
 and travel restrictions have created significant barriers to essential health and social services for
 people experiencing IPV<sup>2</sup>.

6 Safety planning is a secondary prevention modality that allows those living with IPV to take independent actions to maximize their safety, and is shown to reduce future physical and 7 psychological violence <sup>3,4</sup>. IPV and community service agencies usually provide safety planning 8 services, and several empirically tested app-based safety planning resource exist. However, the 9 combination of COVID-19 restrictions and the increase in IPV related to the pandemic meant 10 that these services could no longer provide the level of supports they did prior to the pandemic. 11 Coupled with increased time spent at home, in close proximity with perpetrators, creates 12 additional challenges for those living with IPV<sup>1,5</sup>. Most importantly, existing in-person and app-13 based safety planning strategies are not tailored to reflect the realities of living with IPV during a 14 public health emergency, with accompanying changes to service provision, availability, and 15 16 freedom of movement. Thus, women urgently need up-to-date resources for safety planning that 17 are effective during COVID-19 and future public health emergencies.

This brief report presents the first phase of a three-phase rapid research effort in response
to IPV during COVID-19. Since existing information on safety planning during public health
emergencies is scarce, Phase 1 focused on identifying pandemic-relevant, modified safety
strategies for women who are in male-female relationships and living with IPV.

To understand the state of the science regarding IPV safety planning during public health
emergencies, we first conducted a rapid, systematic review of the literature. Medline, PsycINFO,

#### Journal Pre-proo

CINAHL, Google Scholar, Scopus, and PubMed were searched by a Health Sciences Librarian 1 from database inception to March 2020 (full strategy available upon request). Relevant websites 2 and grey literature repositories such as OpenGrey were also searched using keywords strings for 3 IPV (e.g. "domestic violence", "gender-based violence"), disasters (e.g. "SARS", "shelter in 4 place"), and safety planning (e.g. "resource referral", "intervention"). Two team members (JK 5 and MH) screened titles and abstracts for relevance to IPV safety planning in natural or man-6 7 made emergencies. Three additional reviewers (PB, NM, MP) screened remaining studies using full text as needed for safety planning strategies that were specific to IPV and modified or 8 created in response to reduced service capacity and/or temporary home confinement regulations. 9 10 Concurrently, we convened an expert panel of IPV survivors and IPV service providers in the Greater Toronto Area (GTA) to brainstorm new and modified strategies that women 11 experiencing IPV in the context of COVID-19 might find helpful. 12

13 IPV safety planning strategies gleaned from the rapid systematic review were combined with those developed by the expert panel and an online survey using Zoho Survey was created. 14 We then leveraged our network of 25 community partner agencies representing diverse client 15 bases and individual experiences to recruit Ontario-based IPV service providers and survivors of 16 IPV to complete the survey online or via an encrypted video call. Agencies reached out to their 17 employees, volunteers, and clients and asked them to participate. Participants were reimbursed 18 CAD \$10 for their time. In addition to answering demographic questions, respondents ranked 19 each strategy using a four-point Likert scale: 4) "highly recommend", 3) "recommend", 2) 20 "somewhat recommend", and, to identify strategies that might lead to unintended or harmful 21 consequences, 1) "do not recommend it- may make the violence worse". All study activities were 22 23 approved by the Research Ethics Board of St. Michael's Hospital (blinded for review).

1

After all surveys were completed, the mean score for each strategy was calculated and strategies
sorted into three categories: highly recommended (µ=3.01-4.00), somewhat recommended
(µ=2.01-3.00) and not recommended - might make the violence worse (µ<2.00 and >10% scored
the item a 1). We then refined the final list of strategies based on discussion with our expert
panel.

7 Thirty-three articles met inclusion criteria for the systematic review, but fewer than 10 strategies were extracted from these articles. These were combined with nearly 30 strategies 8 generated by the expert panel. Thirty-four conceptually distinct IPV safety planning strategies 9 10 remained after combining conceptually similar strategies. The strategies were then ranked by N=111 respondents (30% IPV survivors (n=33), 50% IPV service providers (55), 21% (n=23) 11 both survivors and service providers) from April-June 2020. Twenty-six strategies remained after 12 13 combining several conceptually similar strategies. Of these, 19 (69%) were "highly recommended", 3 (12%) were "somewhat recommended", and 6 (23%) were not recommended. 14 Highly recommended strategies were further categorized by theme: planning for safety (n=9), 15 connecting with others (n=7), and staying safe online (n=2) (see Table 1). Importantly, those 16 believing their lives are in immediate danger or who fear for the lives of their children or others 17 in the home are encouraged to bypass safety planning entirely and contact IPV or emergency 18 services. 19

Nineteen safety planning strategies were determined by experts and survivors of IPV to
be useful during times of reduced freedom-of-movement and stay-at-home restrictions. This
represents the first attempt to synthesize safety planning strategies that are relevant during times

when women may simultaneously be in closer proximity to their abuser and when IPV services
 may be restricted.

While some of the strategies are consistent with IPV mitigation literature, such as 3 keeping important papers nearby and packing an emergency kit <sup>6,7</sup>, our work found discrepancies 4 as well. For example, while the use of placating behaviors and similar strategies has often been 5 cited as effective<sup>7,8</sup>, respondents said that these behaviors were not realistic to "keep the peace" 6 for extended periods, such as a quarantine or lockdown. Similarly, reducing access to triggers for 7 violence (such as alcohol) or weapons have been recommended<sup>7</sup>, but were not seen as helpful for 8 women spending long periods of time with abusers. Women routinely said strategies should be 9 evaluated in light of a specific person's situation, highlighting the complexity of maximizing 10 11 safety during the pandemic. Strengths of this work include a 95% survey completion rate, a diverse study population (more than one-third of respondents were born outside of Canada) and 12 consistent feedback from community partners on the safety strategies. Limitations include the 13 rapid nature of the research, which may limit the systematic review, the exclusion of same-sex 14 relationships, and the potential for maturation effects given the quickly changing course of the 15 COVID-19 pandemic. 16

A lay-language research brief was distributed to community partners and a one-page poster of helpful strategies is currently posted in Toronto-area hospitals. Results were used to adapt an existing safety planning app, Pathways, which borrows from the MyPlan<sup>4</sup> suite of apps, for the realities of COVID-19. Dubbed PROMoting Safety in Emergencies (PROMiSE), this new app is the only IPV safety planning tool developed for use during public health emergencies. It was launched in December, 2020 and is currently being promoted throughout the GTA. By equipping women experiencing IPV with tools to maximize their own safety during public health

# Journal Pre-proof

| 1  | emergencies, it may be possible to blunt the increase in violence that often accompanies public |
|----|---|
| 2  | health emergencies. Pandemic-specific safety planning strategies - can also be integrated into  |
| 3  | municipal emergency response efforts to mitigate the unintended harms of actions needed to      |
| 4  | control the spread of the virus.  |
| 5  |   |
|    |   |
| 6  |   |
| 7  |   |
| 8  |   |
| 9  |   |
| 10 |   |
| 11 |   |
| 12 |   |
| 13 |   |
| 14 |   |
| 15 |   |
|    |   |
|    |   |
|    |   |
|    |   |

| 1  |  |
|----|--|
| 2  | References:  |
| 3  | 1. Gogin M. As cities around the world go on lockdown, victims of domestic violence look for a   |
| 4  | way out. <i>Time Magazine</i> . 2020.  |
| 5  | 2. Barbara G, Facchin F, Micci L, et al. Covid-19, lockdown, and intimate partner violence:      |
| 6  | Some data from an italian service and suggestions for future approaches. Journal of Women's      |
| 7  | Health. 2020.  |
| 8  | 3. Eden KB, Perrin NA, Hanson GC, et al. Use of online safety decision aid by abused women:      |
| 9  | Effect on decisional conflict in a randomized controlled trial. Am J Prev Med. 2015;48(4):372-   |
| 10 | 383.   |
| 11 | 4. Glass NE, Perrin NA, Hanson GC, et al. The longitudinal impact of an internet safety decision |
| 12 | aid for abused women. Am J Prev Med. 2017;52(5):606-615.   |
| 13 | 5. Dubinski K, Marginson A. National survey finds domestic violence during pandemic was          |
| 14 | more frequent and severe. Canadian Broadcasting Corporation Web site.                            |
| 15 | https://www.cbc.ca/news/canada/london/national-survey-domestic-violence-end-violence-now-        |
| 16 | anova-1.5698028. Updated 2020. Accessed October 20, 2020.  |
| 17 | 6. First JM, First NL, Houston JB. Intimate partner violence and disasters: A framework for      |
| 18 | empowering women experiencing violence in disaster settings. Affilia. 2017;32(3):390-403.        |
| 19 | 7. Wood SN, Glass N, Decker MR. An integrative review of safety strategies for women             |
| 20 | experiencing intimate partner violence in low-and middle-income countries. Trauma, Violence,     |
| 21 | & Abuse. 2019:1524838018823270.  |

- 1 8. Anderson KM, Renner LM, Bloom TL. Exploring protective strategies among rural women in
- 2 an abusive relationship. *Issues Ment Health Nurs.* 2017;38(8):610-618.

3

Journal

## Table 1 Safety Strategies as Recommended by Survey Respondents

| 2                                 |                                   |                     |                            |   |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|---|
| HIGHLY RECOMMENDED                |                                   |                     | RECOMMENDED                | NOT RECOMMENDED   |
| (µ>3.0)                           |                                   |                     | ( <b>µ=2.01-3.00</b> )     | (<2.0 and ≥10% rated 1)   |
| Very helpful for promoting safety |                                   |                     | Somewhat helpful for       | May make violence worse   |
|                                   |                                   |                     | promoting safety           |   |
| PLAN FOR                          | CONNECT                           | INCREASE            |                            |   |
| SAFETY                            | WITH OTHERS                       | SAFETY              |                            |   |
|                                   |                                   | ONLINE              |                            |   |
| Duplicate or put                  | Talk to a doctor or               | Delete text, web-   | Receive cash or            | Try to "keep the peace" in                                      |
| aside important                   | nurse about the                   | browser, and other  | electronic payments        | tense situations  |
| papers                            | abuse                             | online records more | from friends or relatives  |   |
|                                   |                                   | frequently          |                            |   |
| Keep your phone &                 | Alert a neighbour                 | Change passwords    | Remove or hide knives,     | Switch to texting or emailing                                   |
| keys close by                     | to the situation                  | to phone, email,    | utensils, and/ or tools to | instead of phone calls  |
|                                   |                                   | accounts, etc. more | avoid partner's easy       |   |
|                                   |                                   | often               | access                     |   |
| Create a safety plan              | Talk to friends                   |                     | Manage the environment     | File for a restraining order                                    |
| with children                     | and/or family                     |                     | to minimize known          |   |
|                                   | members                           |                     | triggers and reduce risk   |   |
| Pack an emergency                 | Reach out to others               |                     | Do things you know will    | Hide alcohol or other   |
| kit                               | who have                          |                     | promote calm in the        | substances that may make the                                    |
|                                   | experienced                       |                     | home                       | abuse worse   |
|                                   | violence (in person<br>or online) |                     |                            |   |
| Create mental list                | Access online,                    |                     |                            | Use envene also in the house                                    |
| of potential safe                 | virtual or app-based              |                     |                            | Use anyone else in the house<br>to defuse a potentially violent |
| havens                            | counselling                       |                     |                            | situation   |
| navens                            | counsening                        |                     |                            | situation   |
| Use distancing                    | Have someone call                 |                     |                            | Delay plans to end the  |
| techniques in the                 | to check in on you                |                     |                            | relationship  |
| home                              | regularly                         |                     |                            | Termionship   |
| Keep a record of                  | Seek out legal                    |                     |                            |   |
| incidents of abuse                | advice                            |                     |                            |   |
| Consider strategies               |                                   |                     |                            |   |
| for keeping pets                  |                                   |                     |                            |   |
| safe                              |                                   |                     |                            |   |
| Work out an escape                |                                   |                     |                            |   |
| plan                              |                                   |                     |                            |   |
| ว                                 |                                   |                     |                            |   |

Highlights:

- Incidence of intimate partner violence (IPV) has increased during the COVID-19 • pandemic
- There is little existing evidence on IPV safety planning during public health emergencies •
- Some strategies recommended before the pandemic may make IPV worse
- Safety planning strategies can be modified for use during public health emergencies •

g pi